



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/872,701	06/01/2001	Steven W. Lundberg	296.022US1	2505	
7590 12/08/2003			EXAMINER		
Schwegman,	Lundberg, Woessner	AMSBURY, WAYNE P			
P.O.Box 2938 Minneapolis, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2171	0	
			DATE MAILED: 12/08/2003	, 8	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					λ			
		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/872,70	1	LUNDBERG, STEVE	LUNDBERG, STEVEN W.			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Wayne Ar	· .	2171				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica r Reply	tion appears on the	cover sheet with	the correspondence addr	ess			
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAL sistens of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will eply received by the Office later than three months after department adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve cation. lays, a reply within the statu ory period will apply and will. by statute, cause the apply.	ent, however, may a reply utory minimum of thirty (3 Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS ication to become ABAN	be timely filed 0) days will be considered timely. 5 from the mailing date of this comin booken (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed of							
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is no	n-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-96 is/are pending in the app	olication.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from cor	nsideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-96</u> is/are rejected.							
′=	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[]	Claim(s) are subject to restrictio	n and/or election re	equirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
.—	The specification is objected to by the E							
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 October 200</u>							
	Applicant may not request that any objection							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the							
•	The oath or declaration is objected to by	y the Examiner. No	te the attached O	mice Action or form PTO	-152.			
•	ander 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
a)[* S 13)⊠ A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International see the attached detailed Office action for the complex of a claim for the complex of a claim for the complex of a claim for the complex of the complex of a claim for the complex of the complex	cuments have been becuments have been the priority docume I Bureau (PCT Rule for a list of the certif domestic priority ur	n received. n received in Appents have been received 17.2(a)). fied copies not reconder 35 U.S.C. § 1	lication No ceived in this National St ceived. I 19(e) (to a provisional a	pplication)			
3 ⁻	7 CFR 1.78.) \square The translation of the foreign langu	uage provisional ap	plication has beer	n received.				
14)∐ A re	cknowledgment is made of a claim for efference was included in the first senter	domestic priority un nce of the specifical	ider 35 U.S.C. §§ tion or in an Appli	1∠u and/or 121 since a cation Data Sheet. 37 Cl	specific FR 1.78.			
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notic 2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pape			mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). mal Patent Application (PTO-1				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/872,701

Art Unit: 2171

CLAIMS 1-96 ARE PENDING

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

2. Applicant's arguments filed 10/10/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant fails to recognize the breadth of the claims. In one particular, the *name* of data is non-functional [MPEP 2106-VI, *In re Gulack*, 703 F2.d 1381, 1385 217 USPQ 401, 404 (Fed. Cir. 1983).] Thus "patent application data" corresponds to document data. Similarly, the term "module" is a generic term that refers to a collection of routines and/or data structures that performs a particular task. Unless the specifics of its interface and/or data structures are claimed, a module corresponds to its functionality.

In another particular, the distinction between "patent" applications and applications in general in Immerman et al (Immerman) is moot. There is no element of the claims in which a distinction is significant.

In another particular, Immerman was presented as evidence that applying a security model to application management was well known at the time of the invention, and this does not require a physical combination of the two systems as a whole. A motivation for migrating this aspect of Immerman was given in the previous action as well.

Application/Control Number: 09/872,701

Art Unit: 2171

In another particular, computer security is directed at unauthorized access, which clearly corresponds to restricting task and matter data to selected system users.

Finally, Immerman clearly is also evidence that it was well known at the time of the invention to provide Internet access to applications, from the TITLE, ABSTRACT, SUMMARY, and numerous places in the detailed description.

It is also noted that a system, which at least broadly corresponds to the claims, was implemented by the USPTO itself in 1999. A notification to the Intellectual Property community in the form of a press release [Quinn et al] is included as prior art with this action. It is difficult to understand why this was not submitted as prior art on an IDS form with an application in 2001.

3. Claims 1-96 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Iwai et al (Iwai), US 5,175,681, 29 December 1992 in light of Immerman et al (Immerman), US 6,574,617, 3 June 2003.

This maintains the rejection of the previous action, which is hereby incorporated in its entirety, in light of the remarks above.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wayne Amsbury whose telephone number is 703-305-3828. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH 7-5.

Application/Control Number: 09/872,701 Page 4

Art Unit: 2171

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic can be reached on 703-308-1436. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-746-7239.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-9700.

WPA

WAYNE AMSBURY PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER